

Models for Other Kinds of Non-Normal Continuous Outcomes

- Topics:
 - Roadmap of generalized linear models for non-normal outcomes
 - Predicting proportions: binomial, beta, and beta-binomial
 - Predicting other continuous non-normal outcomes using log-normal or gamma distributions
 - Quantile regression for solving two problems:
 - Robustness to outliers by predicting the median instead of mean
 - Predicting other percentiles to answer different questions
 - In case of emergency: adjustments to standard errors

Generalized Linear Models

- **Generalized linear models:** link-transformed conditional mean is predicted by the linear model; ML estimator uses not-normal conditional distributions in the outcome data likelihood
 - **Btw, in multilevel models,** level-1 conditional model has some not-normal distribution, but level-2 random effects are usually multivariate normal
- **Two parts: Link function + other conditional distribution**
 - **Done: Categorical → Logit/Probit/Log-Log/C-Log-Log**
 - **Bernoulli for binary; multinomial for ordinal or nominal**
 - **Done: Counts → Log + some kind of Poisson or Negative Binomial**
 - **Zero-inflated counts → zero-inflated or hurdle variants**
 - **Now: Bounded → Logit + some kind of Binomial or Beta**
 - **Now: Skewed Continuous → Log + Log-Normal/Gamma**
 - **Zero-inflated continuous → hurdle variants**

Beyond Categories and Counts...

- Categorical and count outcomes fall into the “obvious” category of when generalized linear models are needed, but there are many **other kinds of “not normal” outcomes** that could be better-predicted by incorporating link functions and other distributions
 - Normal → continuous, unbounded, and symmetric (often unrealistic)
- **Continu-ish outcomes bounded above and below**
 - Proportions and rates (e.g., percent correct)
 - Scale scores (where there is a floor or ceiling by item design)
 - Logit-type links solve two-boundary problems, but what distribution?
- **Continu-ish outcomes bounded in one direction** (e.g., at 0)
 - e.g., response time, salaries, costs, minutes of physical activity
 - Log-type links solve single boundary problems, but what distribution?

Too Logit to Quit: Predicting Proportions

- **Logit-type links** can be useful in predicting **proportions**:
 - Range between 0 and 1, so model needs to “shut off” predictions for conditional mean as they approach those ends, just as in binary outcomes
 - Any outcome can be transformed to range between 0 and 1 to be modeled this way: $\text{Proportion} = (y_i - \min)/(\max - \min)$
 - Data to model: \rightarrow predict \hat{y}_i in logits = $\text{Log}\left(\frac{p_i}{1-p_i}\right)$ ← **g(·) Link**
 - Model back to data $\rightarrow p_i = \frac{\exp(\hat{y}_i)}{1+\exp(\hat{y}_i)}$ ← **g⁻¹(·) Inverse-Link**
- Odds ratios can be used as effect size: $\text{OR} = \exp(\text{logit slope})$
- Distributions? Binomial (discrete), Beta (continuous), or hybrid
 - **Binomial**: Less flexible (just one hump), but can include 0 and 1 values
 - **Beta**: Way more flexible (but ???), but cannot directly include 0 or 1 values
 - **Beta-binomial**: Flexible hybrid well-suited for binomial overdispersion
- SAS GLIMMIX, FMM; STATA GLM, BETABIN, ZIB, ZIBBIN; R VGGLM


Binomial Distribution for Proportions

- The discrete **binomial** distribution predicts c events given n trials (can be used for outcomes bounded above and below)

➤ Bernoulli for binary = special case of binomial when $n=1$

➤ $Prob(y = c) = \frac{n!}{c!(n-c)!} p^c (1 - p)^{n-c}$

p = probability of 1



As p gets closer to .5 and n gets larger, the binomial pdf will look more like a normal distribution.

But if many people show floor/ceiling effects, a normal distribution is not likely to work well...

Binomial Distribution for Proportions


- Like the Poisson for counts (and any other distribution without a separately estimated variance), binomial distributions frequently have **overdispersion** (seen as Pearson $\chi^2 / df > 1$)
 - Overdispersion = more variability than the mean predicts (cannot happen in binary outcomes, but it can for binomial)
 - Can be caused by an incorrect linear predictor model (e.g., missing interaction terms), skewness, or correlated observations (i.e., due to nesting, clustering, multivariate, and so forth)
- Two overdispersion adjustments: additive or multiplicative
 - **Additive**: add the equivalent of a per-person residual to the model as an “observation-level random effect” (intercept)
 - **Multiplicative**: switch to beta-binomial distribution... say what?

Beta Distribution for Proportions

- The continuous **beta** distribution (LINK=LOGIT, DIST=BETA) can predict proportion p as μ (but must be $0 < p < 1$)

➤
$$F(y|\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} y^{\alpha-1} (1-y)^{\beta-1}$$

α and β are "shape" parameters (> 0)



$$\text{Mean} = \mu = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}$$

$$\text{"Scale"} = \phi = \alpha + \beta$$

$$\text{Variance} = \frac{\mu(1-\mu)}{1+\phi}$$

SAS GLIMMIX gives "scale" ϕ ; fixed effects predict \hat{y}_i in logits; (so inverse logit \hat{y}_i to μ)

Beta Distribution for Proportions

- The **beta distribution** is extremely flexible (i.e., can take on many shapes, including bimodal), but its outcomes must be $0 < y < 1$
 - If you have zero values, need to add “zero-inflation” factor:
→ predicts logit of 0, then beta after 0 in two submodels
 - If you have one values, need to add “one-inflation” factor:
→ predicts beta, then logit of 1 in two submodels
 - Need both inflation factors if you have zero and one values (3 submodels)
 - Can be used with outcomes that have other ranges of possible values if they are rescaled into 0 to 1
- The **beta-binomial distribution** is a hybrid: it says that the binomial’s p parameter follows a beta distribution
 - In practice, this translates to estimating an **additional “scale” factor** (ϕ in SAS, $1/\phi$ in STATA, $\text{LOG}[1/\phi]$ in R VGLM) that is a **variance multiplier**
 - Parameterization differs across programs and authors, so I have had a *really hard time* figuring out exactly how this scaling works!



Extra Zero Values in Proportions

- Both the binomial and beta-binomial (BB; stretchy binomial) models can also include a zero-inflation submodel (just like for counts)
 - Distinguishes **two kinds of 0 values: expected** and **inflated/structural** (extra) through a mixture of Bernoulli + Binomial/Beta-Binomial)
 - Creates two submodels to predict “if *extra 0*” and “if not, how much”?
 - Still does not readily map onto most hypotheses (in my opinion)
 - But a ZIB example would look like this... (ZIBB would add ϕ dispersion, too)
- Submodel 1: $Logit[p(y_i = \text{extra } 0)] = \beta_{0z} + \beta_{1z}(x_i)$
 - Predict **being an extra 0** using Link = Logit, Distribution = Bernoulli
 - Don't have to include predictors for this part, can simply allow an intercept (to see if needed)
- Submodel 2: $Logit[E(y_i)] = \beta_{0p} + \beta_{1p}(x_i)$
 - Predict **rest of proportions (including 0's)** using Link = Logit, Distribution = Binomial/BB
- “Hurdle” variants (0, amount if not 0) for the amount part would require beta or zero-truncated binomial/BB distributions (tough to find in software)

Other Non-Normal Outcomes




- One final category is **continuous (or continu-ish) but still not normal** due to a natural boundary, skewness, and/or outliers
- **Positively skewed positive-only values:** Response time, money
 - Use a **log link** to keep the predicted mean positive; IRR = EXP(slope) can provide incidence-rate ratios (IRR) on same scale as odds ratios (OR)
 - Use **lognormal** or **gamma** conditional distributions (for $y_i > 0$)
 - What if you have 0 values also? You need a hurdle “if and how much” model
- Unbounded but still “messy”, perhaps due to **outliers** (valid observations that may have undue influence on the solution)
 - Instead of arbitrarily removing cases, you can switch to a model that is robust to outliers: **quantile regression**, in which you can predict the median (50th percentile) or any other percentile, rather than the mean

Log-Normal Distribution (Link=Identity)



- $e_i \sim \text{LogNormal}(0, \sigma_e^2) \rightarrow \mathbf{\log}$ of residuals is normal
 - Is same as log-transforming your outcome in this one case...
 - The log link keeps the predicted values positive, but slopes then have an exponential (not linear) relation with original outcome

Log-Normal Distribution (Link=Identity)






- GLIMMIX fixed effects give \hat{y}_i and $scale = \sigma_e^2$ that convert back into the data-scale outcome as follows:

- $Mean(y_i) = \exp(\hat{y}_i) * \sqrt{\exp(scale)}$

- $Variance(y_i) = \exp(2\hat{y}_i) * \exp(scale) * [\exp(scale) - 1]$

Gamma Response Distribution



- With LINK=LOG, GLIMMIX fixed effects give \hat{y}_i and *dispersion* (labeled "scale") that convert back into data-scale as:
 - $\text{Mean}(y_i) = \exp(\hat{y}_i) \approx (\text{shape} * \text{scale})$
 - $\text{Variance}(y_i) = [\exp(\hat{y}_i)]^2 * \text{dispersion} \approx (\text{shape} * \text{scale}^2)$

If and How Much Models: Continuous

- The log-normal and gamma distributions do not include zero values, so positively skewed outcomes that do have zero values will need to use a **two-submodel variant** to predict zero values and amounts
- These are analogous to “hurdle” models for counts, but they are known as “**two-part**” models when the amount part is continuous
- Submodel 1: $Logit[p(y_i = 0)] = \beta_{0z} + \beta_{1z}(x_i)$
 - Predict **being 0** using Link = Logit, Distribution = Bernoulli
- Submodel 2: $Log[E(y_i)|y_i > 0] = \beta_{0c} + \beta_{1c}(x_i)$
 - Predict **positive continuous amounts** using Link = Log, Distribution = Lognormal or Gamma (or beta for amounts bounded at 1)
- Mplus has two-part models in which the amount is log-transformed, but otherwise these models will be estimated most easily using a multivariate approach (such as in path models; stay tuned)

A Complete “Pile of Zeros” Taxonomy

- What kind of **amount** do you want to predict?
 - Discrete values that include 0 values:
 - Count of events: Poisson, Negative Binomial, Generalized Poisson
 - Number of events out of total: Binomial, Beta-Binomial
 - Continuous values that DO NOT include 0 values:
 - Beta (for $0 < y_i < 1$); Log-Normal or Gamma (for $y_i > 0$)
- What kind of **If 0** do you want to predict (with some kind of submodel using a logit link and Bernoulli distribution)?
 - Discrete: Extra “structural” 0 beyond that predicted by amount?
→ regular discrete distribution with zero-inflation submodel
 - Discrete: Any 0 at all?
→ zero-truncated discrete distribution with “hurdle” submodel
 - Note: Given the same discrete amount distribution, zero-inflated and hurdle variants of predicting 0 will result in the same empty model fit
 - Continuous: Any 0 at all?
→ two-part with regular non-normal continuous amount

Software for Continuous Outcomes

- Many choices for modeling not-normal **continuous** outcomes (that can include non-integer values); most use an identity, log, or inverse link
- **Single-level, univariate generalized models in SAS (not in Mplus):**
 - GENMOD: DIST= (and default link): Gamma (Inverse), Geometric (Log), Inverse Gaussian (Inverse²), Normal (Identity)
 - FMM: DIST= (and default link): Beta (Logit), Betabinomial (Logit), Exponential (Log), Gamma (Log), Normal (Identity), Geometric (Log), Inverse Gaussian (Inverse²), LogNormal (Identity), TCentral (Identity), Weibull (Log)
- **GLM in STATA** has gamma but it doesn't use the same LL as SAS (but user-written lgamma does)
- **Multilevel or multivariate generalized models in SAS via GLIMMIX:**
 - Beta (Logit), Exponential (Log), Gamma (Log), Geometric (Log), Inverse Gaussian (Inverse²), Normal (Identity), LogNormal (Identity), TCentral (Identity)
 - BYOBS, which allows multivariate models by which you specify DV-specific link functions and distributions estimated simultaneously (e.g., two-part)
 - SAS NLMIXED or STATA menl can also be used to fit any user-defined model
- **In R, VGAM** has dozens of univariate models; **GLMER** (in LME4) for multivariate models

A Better Way of Handling Outliers

- When lack of distribution fit may be due to outliers, or you are concerned about their potential influence on the linear predictor solution, a useful alternative is **quantile regression**
- To understand how it works differently, let's first review three characteristics of regular regression (i.e., general linear models)
 - The linear model predicts the **conditional mean** of y_i , labeled \hat{y}_i
 - The point estimates for the predictor slopes are those that minimize an "objective function" (OF), which in least squares estimation is the **sum of squared residuals**: $SS_{residual} = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N (e_i)^2$
 - The slope standard errors are a function of the residual variance, $MS_{residual} = \frac{SS_{residual}}{N-k}$, whose accuracy rests the residuals being independent and normally distributed (with constant variance)
- But in distributions with skewness or outliers, the mean is not the most robust measure of central tendency—the **median** is instead...

Quantile Regression: Median Regression

- So why not **predict the conditional median** instead of the mean? To do so, we change the objective function to minimize the **sum of the absolute value of the model residuals**:

$$OF = \sum_{i=1}^N |y_i - \hat{y}_i| = \sum_{i=1}^N |e_i|$$

- This minimization does not have a “closed form” (i.e., known formula or calculus-based solution) and requires a search process
- The properties of the slopes are not well-known, and so slope standard errors are found using resampling (e.g., bootstrapping)
 - Bootstrapping: sample repeatedly with replacement, find slopes in each sample, plot distribution of slope estimates, find empirical standard errors (average deviation from mean) or confidence limits
 - Need to set a random seed in order to get the exact same results back across repeated runs of the program (I use Jenny: 8675309)
 - Still assumes independent residuals with constant variance
- SAS QUANTREG; STATA SQREG or IQREG; R QUANTREG

Quantile Regression More Generally

- The resulting regression solution will be robust to outliers, but why stop there? More generally, the median is just the 50th percentile—you can choose to predict **any percentile τ**

$$\text{OF} = \left\{ \sum_{i \in \{i: y_i \geq \hat{y}_i\}} \tau |e_i| + \sum_{i \in \{i: y_i < \hat{y}_i\}} (1 - \tau) |e_i| \right\}$$

τ weighted function
separates residuals
above or below 0

- Analogous to **predictor by outcome-level interactions**—the effect of predictors may differ at different points along the outcome
 - e.g., Does a student intervention help low-performing students more than it helps high-performing students?
 - e.g., In older adults, does age predict response time to a greater extent among slower responders than among faster responders?
 - **Full results in example 4b:** Does square footage matter more for the sale price of cheap houses than mid-priced or expensive houses?
- Unfortunately, extensions to dependent observations (multilevel samples) or multivariate outcomes can be hard to find in software...

Open in Case of Emergency

- If you are faced with a conditional outcome that doesn't fit any model you have tried, there is one last fix—ask for adjusted standard errors that will be more **robust to distribution misfit**
 - STATA: ML default SE using “observed” information matrix is labeled “OIM”; other options are `vce(robust, bootstrap, jackknife)`
 - SAS: On PROC line in MIXED or GLMMIX can ask for “EMPIRICAL” which is analogous to “robust” in STATA and “MLR” in Mplus
 - Adjustments are needed for better accuracy in small samples
- To **adjust for dependency** (i.e., persons in clusters) explicitly:
 - STATA `vce(cluster IDvar)` → adjusts SEs only
 - Mplus `CLUSTER = IDvar` → adjusts SEs only
 - Better: change your model to GEE or to include fixed effects (both of which control cluster dependency) ; or change your model to include random effects (to control and predict reasons for cluster dependency)

Beware! These will give you accurate SEs for smushed level-1 effects ☹️