

General Linear Models for Testing Moderation: Multiple-Slope Interactions

- Topics:
 - Review of main effects of categorical predictors
 - Specification using dummy codes
 - Specification as “categorical” directly in the model syntax
 - Review of interaction concepts
 - Examples of interactions that require multiple slopes
 - Interactions among categorical predictors
 - Interactions with quantitative predictors with nonlinear effects
 - Special uses of interaction terms to create nested effects
 - “ANOVA with a hole in it”
 - Missing (or impossible) predictor data

Categorical Predictors (3+ Groups)

- Two alternatives for how to include grouping predictors
 1. **Manually create** and include dummy-coded group contrasts
 - Need $C - 1$ contrasts for C categories, added all at once, **treated as quantitative** (WITH in SPSS, by default in SAS, c. in STATA)
 - Corresponds more directly to linear model representation
 - Can be easier to set own reference group and contrasts of interest
 2. **Let the program** create and include group contrasts for you
 - **Treated as categorical:** BY in SPSS, CLASS in SAS, i. in STATA
 - SPSS and SAS: reference = highest/last group; STATA: reference = lowest/first group
 - Can be more convenient if you have many groups, want many contrasts, or have interactions among grouping predictors
 - But it marginalizes over main effects when estimating other effects ☹️

Categorical Predictors Via Manual Contrasts

- Model: $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 d1_i + \beta_2 d2_i + \beta_3 d3_i + e_i$
 - “group” variable: Control=0, Treat1=1, Treat2=2, Treat3=3
 - New variables
to be created $d1 = 0, 1, 0, 0 \rightarrow$ difference between Control and T1
 $d2 = 0, 0, 1, 0 \rightarrow$ difference between Control and T2
for the model: $d3 = 0, 0, 0, 1 \rightarrow$ difference between Control and T3
- How does the model give us **all possible group differences**?
By determining each group’s mean, and then the difference...

Control Mean (Reference)	Treatment 1 Mean	Treatment 2 Mean	Treatment 3 Mean
β_0	$\beta_0 + \beta_1 d1_i$	$\beta_0 + \beta_2 d2_i$	$\beta_0 + \beta_3 d3_i$

- The model for the 4 groups directly provides 3 differences (control vs. each treatment), and indirectly provides another 3 differences (differences between treatments)

Categorical Predictors Via Manual Contrasts

- Model: $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 d1_i + \beta_2 d2_i + \beta_3 d3_i + e_i$

Control Mean (Reference)	Treatment 1 Mean	Treatment 2 Mean	Treatment 3 Mean
β_0	$\beta_0 + \beta_1 d1_i$	$\beta_0 + \beta_2 d2_i$	$\beta_0 + \beta_3 d3_i$

Alt Group Ref Group Difference

- Control vs. T1 = $(\beta_0 + \beta_1) - (\beta_0) = \beta_1$
- Control vs. T2 = $(\beta_0 + \beta_2) - (\beta_0) = \beta_2$
- Control vs. T3 = $(\beta_0 + \beta_3) - (\beta_0) = \beta_3$
- T1 vs. T2 = $(\beta_0 + \beta_2) - (\beta_0 + \beta_1) = \beta_2 - \beta_1$
- T1 vs. T3 = $(\beta_0 + \beta_3) - (\beta_0 + \beta_1) = \beta_3 - \beta_1$
- T2 vs. T3 = $(\beta_0 + \beta_3) - (\beta_0 + \beta_2) = \beta_3 - \beta_2$

Main Effects via Manual Contrasts: SAS

	<u>Alt Group</u>	<u>Ref Group</u>	<u>Difference</u>
• Control vs. T1	$(\beta_0 + \beta_1)$	(β_0)	$= \beta_1$
• Control vs. T2	$(\beta_0 + \beta_2)$	(β_0)	$= \beta_2$
• Control vs. T3	$(\beta_0 + \beta_3)$	(β_0)	$= \beta_3$
• T1 vs. T2	$(\beta_0 + \beta_2)$	$(\beta_0 + \beta_1)$	$= \beta_2 - \beta_1$
• T1 vs. T3	$(\beta_0 + \beta_3)$	$(\beta_0 + \beta_1)$	$= \beta_3 - \beta_1$
• T2 vs. T3	$(\beta_0 + \beta_3)$	$(\beta_0 + \beta_2)$	$= \beta_3 - \beta_2$

Note the order of the equations:
the reference group mean
is subtracted from
the alternative group mean.

In SAS ESTIMATE statements (or
SPSS TEST or STATA LINCOM),
the variables refer to their betas;
the numbers refer to the
operations of their betas.

```

TITLE "SAS Manual Contrasts for 4-Group Diffs";
PROC GLM DATA=work.dataname NAMELEN=100;
MODEL y = d1 d2 d3 / ALPHA=.05 CLPARM SOLUTION SS3 EFFECTSIZE;
CONTRAST "Omnibus DF=3 main effect F-test" d1 1, d2 1, d3 1;
ESTIMATE "Control Mean" intercept 1 d1 0 d2 0 d3 0;
ESTIMATE "T1 Mean"      intercept 1 d1 1 d2 0 d3 0;
ESTIMATE "T2 Mean"      intercept 1 d1 0 d2 1 d3 0;
ESTIMATE "T3 Mean"      intercept 1 d1 0 d2 0 d3 1;

ESTIMATE "Control vs. T1"      d1 1 d2 0 d3 0;
ESTIMATE "Control vs. T2"      d1 0 d2 1 d3 0;
ESTIMATE "Control vs. T3"      d1 0 d2 0 d3 1;

ESTIMATE "T1 vs. T2"          d1 -1 d2 1 d3 0;
ESTIMATE "T1 vs. T3"          d1 -1 d2 0 d3 1;
ESTIMATE "T2 vs. T3"          d1 0 d2 -1 d3 1;
RUN;
    
```

Intercepts are used only
in predicted values.

Positive values indicate
addition; negative values
indicate subtraction.

Main Effects via Manual Contrasts: STATA

	<u>Alt Group</u>	<u>Ref Group</u>	<u>Difference</u>
• Control vs. T1 =	$(\beta_0 + \beta_1)$	(β_0)	$= \beta_1$
• Control vs. T2 =	$(\beta_0 + \beta_2)$	(β_0)	$= \beta_2$
• Control vs. T3 =	$(\beta_0 + \beta_3)$	(β_0)	$= \beta_3$
• T1 vs. T2 =	$(\beta_0 + \beta_2)$	$(\beta_0 + \beta_1)$	$= \beta_2 - \beta_1$
• T1 vs. T3 =	$(\beta_0 + \beta_3)$	$(\beta_0 + \beta_1)$	$= \beta_3 - \beta_1$
• T2 vs. T3 =	$(\beta_0 + \beta_3)$	$(\beta_0 + \beta_2)$	$= \beta_3 - \beta_2$

Note the order of the equations:
the reference group mean
is subtracted from
the alternative group mean.

In SAS ESTIMATE statements (or
SPSS TEST or STATA LINCOM),
the variables refer to their fixed
effects; the numbers refer to the
operations of their fixed effects.

```
display "STATA Manual Contrasts for 4-Group Diffs"
regress y c.d1 c.d2 c.d3, level(95)
test (c.d1=0) (c.d2=0) (c.d3=0) // Omnibus F-test DF=3 group main effect
lincom _cons*1 + c.d1*0 + c.d2*0 + c.d3*0 // Control Mean
lincom _cons*1 + c.d1*1 + c.d2*0 + c.d3*0 // T1 Mean
lincom _cons*1 + c.d1*0 + c.d2*1 + c.d3*0 // T2 Mean
lincom _cons*1 + c.d1*0 + c.d2*0 + c.d3*1 // T3 Mean
lincom          c.d1*1 + c.d2*0 + c.d3*0 // Control vs T1
lincom          c.d1*0 + c.d2*1 + c.d3*0 // Control vs T2
lincom          c.d1*0 + c.d2*0 + c.d3*1 // Control vs T3
lincom          c.d1*-1 + c.d2*1 + c.d3*0 // T1 vs T2
lincom          c.d1*-1 + c.d2*0 + c.d3*1 // T1 vs T3
lincom          c.d1*0 + c.d2*-1 + c.d3*1 // T2 vs T3
```

Review of Single-Slope Interactions

- Previously we examined interactions involving either binary predictors or quantitative predictors with only linear slopes
 - **The role of a two-way interaction is to adjust its main effect slopes: to make them more/less positive or more/less negative**
 - However, the term “main effect slope” no longer applies: each becomes a **simple slope** that is **conditional** on each interacting predictor = 0
- e.g., $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1(w_i) + \beta_2(x_i) + \beta_3(z_i) + \beta_4(x_i)(z_i) + e_i$
 - w_i slope β_1 is a *marginal* main effect because it is not in an interaction
 - x_i slope β_2 is the *conditional* main effect of x_i specifically when $z_i = 0$
 - z_i slope β_3 is the *conditional* main effect of z_i specifically when $x_i = 0$
 - $x_i z_i$ slope β_4 is how β_2 differs per unit z_i , or how β_3 differs per unit x_i
- The $x_i z_i$ interaction here requires only one slope to test it when x_i and z_i are quantitative (or binary)—but if either predictor has 3+ categories, the $x_i z_i$ interaction would require more than 1 slope

Interactions with Manual Contrasts: SAS

- When using manual contrasts for predictors with 3 or more categories, **interactions must be specified with each separate contrast**
- For example, adding an interaction of 4-category group with age (0=85):

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1(d1_i) + \beta_2(d2_i) + \beta_3(d3_i) + \beta_4(Age_i - 85) \\ + \beta_5(d1_i)(Age_i - 85) + \beta_6(d2_i)(Age_i - 85) + \beta_7(d3_i)(Age_i - 85) + e_i$$

```
TITLE "SAS Group by Age for 4-Group Variable Included using Dummy Codes";
PROC GLM DATA=work.dataname NAMELEN=100;
MODEL y = d1 d2 d3 age d1*age d2*age d3*age / ALPHA=.05 CLPARM SOLUTION SS3 EFFECTSIZE;
CONTRAST "Omnibus DF=3 SIMPLE effect F-test" d1 1, d2 1, d3 1;
CONTRAST "Omnibus DF=3 interaction F-test" d1*age 1, d2*age 1, d3*age 1;

ESTIMATE "Age Slope for Control" age 1 d1*age 0 d2*age 0 d3*age 0;
ESTIMATE "Age Slope for T1" age 1 d1*age 1 d2*age 0 d3*age 0;
ESTIMATE "Age Slope for T2" age 1 d1*age 0 d2*age 1 d3*age 0;
ESTIMATE "Age Slope for T3" age 1 d1*age 0 d2*age 0 d3*age 1;

ESTIMATE "Age Slope: Control vs. T1" d1*age 1 d2*age 0 d3*age 0;
ESTIMATE "Age Slope: Control vs. T2" d1*age 0 d2*age 1 d3*age 0;
ESTIMATE "Age Slope: Control vs. T3" d1*age 0 d2*age 0 d3*age 1;
ESTIMATE "Age Slope: T1 vs. T2" d1*age -1 d2*age 1 d3*age 0;
ESTIMATE "Age Slope: T1 vs. T3" d1*age -1 d2*age 0 d3*age 1;
ESTIMATE "Age Slope: T2 vs. T3" d1*age 0 d2*age -1 d3*age 1;

* Would also want to request simple group differences per age (or regions for them);
```


Interactions with Manual Contrasts: STATA

- When using manual contrasts for predictors with more than 2 categories, **interactions must be specified with each separate contrast**
- For example, adding an interaction of 4-category group with age (0=85):

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1(d1_i) + \beta_2(d2_i) + \beta_3(d3_i) + \beta_4(Age_i - 85) \\ + \beta_5(d1_i)(Age_i - 85) + \beta_6(d2_i)(Age_i - 85) + \beta_7(d3_i)(Age_i - 85) + e_i$$

```
display "STATA Group by Age for 4-Group Variable Included using Dummy Codes"
regress y c.d1 c.d2 c.d3 c.age c.d1#c.age c.d2#c.age c.d3#c.age, level(95)
test (c.d1=0) (c.d2=0) (c.d3=0) // Omnibus DF=3 SIMPLE effect F-test
test (c.d1#c.age=0) (c.d2#c.age=0) (c.d3#c.age=0) // DF=3 interaction F-test
lincom c.age*1 + c.d1#c.age*0 + c.d2#c.age*0 + c.d3#c.age*0 // Age Slope for Control
lincom c.age*1 + c.d1#c.age*1 + c.d2#c.age*0 + c.d3#c.age*0 // Age Slope for T1
lincom c.age*1 + c.d1#c.age*0 + c.d2#c.age*1 + c.d3#c.age*0 // Age Slope for T2
lincom c.age*1 + c.d1#c.age*0 + c.d2#c.age*0 + c.d3#c.age*1 // Age Slope for T3

lincom c.d1#c.age*1 + c.d2#c.age*0 + c.d3#c.age*0 // Age Slope: Control vs T1
lincom c.d1#c.age*0 + c.d2#c.age*1 + c.d3#c.age*0 // Age Slope: Control vs T2
lincom c.d1#c.age*0 + c.d2#c.age*0 + c.d3#c.age*1 // Age Slope: Control vs T3
lincom c.d1#c.age*-1 + c.d2#c.age*1 + c.d3#c.age*0 // Age Slope: T1 vs T2
lincom c.d1#c.age*-1 + c.d2#c.age*0 + c.d3#c.age*1 // Age Slope: T1 vs T3
lincom c.d1#c.age*0 + c.d2#c.age*-1 + c.d3#c.age*1 // Age Slope: T2 vs T3

// Would also want to request simple group differences per age (or regions for them)
```

Using BY/CLASS/i. statements instead

- Designate a predictor as “**categorical**” in program syntax
 - Put in on the CLASS statement in SAS; use i. prefix in STATA
- For a predictor with C categories, the program automatically then creates C new contrast variables, for example “group” with $C = 4$:

New Predictors Created Internally Mean this:	Control	Treat1	Treat2	Treat3
IsControl	1	0	0	0
IsTreat1	0	1	0	0
IsTreat2	0	0	1	0
IsTreat3	0	0	0	1

- It then figures out how many of these internal contrast variables are needed—if using an intercept (the default), then it’s $C - 1$, not all C
- It enters them until it hits that criterion—if it leaves the last one out (as when you have an intercept), then last category becomes your reference
- Everywhere in syntax you refer to the categorical predictor, you must tell the program what to do with EACH of these internal contrast variables

Using BY/CLASS/i. statements instead

- Designate as “**categorical**” predictor in program syntax
 - If you let **SAS/SPSS** do the dummy coding via **CLASS/BY**, then the **highest/last group is default reference**
 - In SAS 9.4 you can change reference group: REF='level' | FIRST | LAST but it changes that group to be last in the data (→ confusing, so don't do it)
 - “Type III test of fixed effects” provide multivariate Wald tests by default
 - **LSMEANS/EMMEANS** can be used to get all cell means and comparisons without specifying each individual contrast, but you still have to ask for interaction contrasts (add / E to end of ESTIMATE to see the order of category values)
 - If you let STATA do the dummy coding via i.group, then the **lowest/first group is default reference**
 - Can change reference group, e.g., last = ref → ib(last).group
 - CONTRAST used to get omnibus tests (not provided by default)
 - MARGINS can be used to get all means and comparisons with much less code than describing each individual contrast
 - Btw, no such thing as “categorical” predictors in Mplus ☹
 - You must create contrasts manually for all grouping variables

Main Effects of Program-Categorical Predictors: SAS

```
TITLE "SAS Program-Created Contrasts for 4-Group Diffs via CLASS";
PROC GLM DATA=work.dataname NAMELEN=100;
CLASS group;
MODEL y = group / ALPHA=.05 CLPARM SS3
        SOLUTION EFFECTSIZE;
LSMEANS group / DIFF=ALL;
```

CLASS statement means "make my contrast variables for me"

The **LSMEANS** line above gives you **ALL** of the following... note that one value has to be given for each possible level of the categorical predictor in *data* order

```
ESTIMATE "Control Mean"  intercept 1 group 1 0 0 0;
ESTIMATE "T1 Mean"      intercept 1 group 0 1 0 0;
ESTIMATE "T2 Mean"      intercept 1 group 0 0 1 0;
ESTIMATE "T3 Mean"      intercept 1 group 0 0 0 1;
```

When predicting intercepts, 1 means "for that group only"

```
ESTIMATE "Control vs. T1"  group -1 1 0 0;
ESTIMATE "Control vs. T2"  group -1 0 1 0;
ESTIMATE "Control vs. T3"  group -1 0 0 1;
ESTIMATE "T1 vs. T2"       group 0 -1 1 0;
ESTIMATE "T1 vs. T3"       group 0 -1 0 1;
ESTIMATE "T2 vs. T3"       group 0 0 -1 1;
```

When predicting group differences, contrasts must sum to 0; here -1 = ref, 1 = alt, and 0 = ignore

```
CONTRAST "Omnibus DF=3 main effect F-test"  group -1 1 0 0,
                                                group -1 0 1 0,
                                                group -1 0 0 1;
```

CLASS also gives this contrast by default

Can also make up whatever contrasts you feel like using **DIVISOR** option:

```
ESTIMATE "Mean of Treat groups"  intercept 1 group 0 1 1 1 / DIVISOR=3;
ESTIMATE "Control vs. Mean of Treat groups"  group -3 1 1 1 / DIVISOR=3;
RUN;
```

Main Effects of Program-Categorical Predictors: STATA

```
display "STATA Program-Created Contrasts for 4-Group Diffs"  
display "i. means make my contrast variables for me (factor var)"  
regress y ib(last).group, level(95)  
contrast i.group // Omnibus DF=3 main effect F-test  
margins i.group, pwcompare(pveffects) // Means per group and mean diffs
```

The MARGINS line above gives you ALL of the following... note that one value has to be given for each possible level of the categorical predictor in *data* order

```
lincom _cons*1 + 1.group*1 + 2.group*0 + 3.group*0 + 4.group*0 // Control Mean  
lincom _cons*1 + 1.group*0 + 2.group*1 + 3.group*0 + 4.group*0 // T1 Mean  
lincom _cons*1 + 1.group*0 + 2.group*0 + 3.group*1 + 4.group*0 // T2 Mean  
lincom _cons*1 + 1.group*0 + 2.group*0 + 3.group*3 + 4.group*1 // T3 Mean  
lincom 1.group*-1 + 2.group*1 + 3.group*0 + 4.group*0 // Control vs T1  
lincom 1.group*-1 + 2.group*0 + 3.group*1 + 4.group*0 // Control vs T2  
lincom 1.group*-1 + 2.group*0 + 3.group*0 + 4.group*1 // Control vs T3  
lincom 1.group*0 + 2.group*-1 + 3.group*1 + 4.group*0 // T1 vs T2  
lincom 1.group*0 + 2.group*-1 + 3.group*0 + 4.group*1 // T1 vs T3  
lincom 1.group*0 + 2.group*0 + 3.group*-1 + 4.group*1 // T2 vs T3
```

Can also make up whatever contrasts you feel like (no DIVISOR option?) :

```
lincom _cons*1 + 1.group*0 + 2.group*.33 + 3.group*.33 + 4.group*.34 // Mean of Treat  
lincom 1.group*-1 + 2.group*.33 + 3.group*.33 + 4.group*.34 // Cont v Treat
```

Interactions of Program-Categorical Predictors: SAS

For example, adding an interaction of group with age (0=85):

```
TITLE "SAS Group by Age for 4-Group Variable Included as Categorical";
PROC GLM DATA= work.dataname NAMELEN=100;
CLASS group;
MODEL y = group age group*age / ALPHA=.05 CLPARM SOLUTION SS3 EFFECTSIZE;

* To explain interaction as how group diffs depend on age:
LSMEANS group / DIFF=ALL AT (age)=(-5); * group intercept diffs at age 80;
LSMEANS group / DIFF=ALL AT (age)=(0); * group intercept diffs at age 85;
LSMEANS group / DIFF=ALL AT (age)=(5); * group intercept diffs at age 90;

* To explain interaction as how age slope depends on group:
ESTIMATE "Age Slope for Control" age 1 group*age 1 0 0 0;
ESTIMATE "Age Slope for T1" age 1 group*age 0 1 0 0;
ESTIMATE "Age Slope for T2" age 1 group*age 0 0 1 0;
ESTIMATE "Age Slope for T3" age 1 group*age 0 0 0 1;

ESTIMATE "Age Slope: Control vs. T1" group*age -1 1 0 0;
ESTIMATE "Age Slope: Control vs. T2" group*age -1 0 1 0;
ESTIMATE "Age Slope: Control vs. T3" group*age -1 0 0 1;
ESTIMATE "Age Slope: T1 vs. T2" group*age 0 -1 1 0;
ESTIMATE "Age Slope: T1 vs. T3" group*age 0 -1 0 1;
ESTIMATE "Age Slope: T2 vs. T3" group*age 0 0 -1 1;
```

Can also make up whatever contrasts you feel like using DIVISOR option:

```
ESTIMATE "Mean Age Slope in Treat groups" age 1 group*age 0 1 1 1 / DIVISOR=3;
ESTIMATE "Age Slope: Control vs. Mean of Treat" group*age -3 1 1 1 / DIVISOR=3;
RUN;
```

Interactions of Program-Categorical Predictors: STATA

For example, adding an interaction of group with age (0=85):

```
display "STATA Group by Age for 4-Group Variable Included as Categorical"
regress y ib(last).group c.age ib(last).group#c.age, level(95)
contrast i.group          // Omnibus DF=3 simple effect F-test
contrast i.group#c.age // DF=3 interaction F-test
lincom  c.age*1 + i1.group#c.age*1 // Age Slope for Cont
lincom  c.age*1 + i2.group#c.age*1 // Age Slope for T1
lincom  c.age*1 + i3.group#c.age*1 // Age Slope for T2
lincom  c.age*1 + i4.group#c.age*1 // Age Slope for T3

lincom  i1.group#c.age*-1 + i2.group#c.age*1 // Age Slope: Cont vs T1
lincom  i1.group#c.age*-1 + i3.group#c.age*1 // Age Slope: Cont vs T2
lincom  i1.group#c.age*-1 + i4.group#c.age*1 // Age Slope: Cont vs T3
lincom  i2.group#c.age*-1 + i3.group#c.age*1 // Age Slope: T1 vs T2
lincom  i2.group#c.age*-1 + i4.group#c.age*1 // Age Slope: T1 vs T3
lincom  i3.group#c.age*-1 + i4.group#c.age*1 // Age Slope: T2 vs T3
```

Can also make up whatever contrasts you feel like (no DIVISOR option?) :

```
lincom c.age*1 i1.group#c.age*0 + i2.group#c.age*.33 /// Age Slope for Treat
        i1.group#c.age*.33 + i2.group#c.age*.34

lincom          i1.group#c.age*-1 + i2.group#c.age*.33 /// Age Slope: C vs Treat
        i1.group#c.age*.33 + i2.group#c.age*.34
```

Program-Categorical Predictors → Marginal Effects

- Letting the program build contrasts for categorical predictors (instead of creating manual dummy codes) does the following:
 - Allows LSMEANS/EMMEANS/MARGINS (for cell means and differences)
 - Provides omnibus (multiple DF) multivariate Wald tests for group effects
 - **Marginalizes the group effect across interacting predictors**
→ omnibus F-tests represent marginal main effects (instead of simple)
 - **MODEL** `y = group sexMW group*sexMW`
regress `y ib(last).group sexMW ib(last).group#sexMW,`
(in which *group* is always “categorical”)

Type 3 Tests of Fixed Effects	Interpretation if sexMW is “quantitative” (no CLASS/i)	Interpretation if sexMW is “categorical” on CLASS/i
sexMW	Marginal diff across groups	Marginal diff across groups
group	Group diff if sexMW=0	Marginal diff across sexes
group*sexMW	Interaction	Interaction

Interactions Among Program-Categorical Predictors: Default ANOVA Output

- Traditional ANOVA model includes **all possible higher-order** interactions among categorical predictors... by default!
 - Software does this for you; nonsignificant interactions usually still are kept in the model (but only significant interactions are interpreted)
 - This is very different from typical practice in “multiple regression”!
- Omnibus **marginal** main effects are provided by default
 - i.e., what we ask for via CONTRAST using manual group contrasts
 - But are **basically useless** given significant interactions
- Omnibus **interaction effects** are provided
 - i.e., what we ask for via CONTRAST using manual group contrasts
 - But **need to be split into DF=1 effects** to understand the interaction
- In Example 7 we'll see how to make software give us more useful info... simple main effects and specific interaction contrasts to the rescue!

Multiple-DF Interactions More Generally

- Interactions can be tested between any predictors, including quantitative predictors that require more than one slope...
- Do piecewise education slopes differ between men and women?
(*inspired by Example 4 models predicting annual income*)

$$\text{Income}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{lessHS}_i) + \beta_2(\text{gradHS}_i) + \beta_3(\text{overHS}_i) + \beta_4(\text{MvW}_i) \\ + \beta_5(\text{MvW}_i)(\text{lessHS}_i) + \beta_6(\text{MvW}_i)(\text{gradHS}_i) + \beta_7(\text{MvW}_i)(\text{overHS}_i) + e_i$$

- Use SAS CONTRAST or STATA TEST to lump together β_5 , β_6 , and β_7 for DF=3 F -test of interaction term
 - Simple slopes β_1 , β_2 , and β_3 give education effect for $\text{MvW}_i = 0$
 - Interactions β_5 , β_6 , and β_7 give DIFF in education effect for $\text{MvW}_i = 1$
 - So simple slopes for each subsample of education for $\text{MvW}_i = 1$ are given by: $\beta_1 + \beta_5$ for lessHS_i , $\beta_2 + \beta_6$ for gradHS_i , and $\beta_3 + \beta_7$ for overHS_i
- Btw, adding a third sex category (e.g., nonbinary) would require one more simple main effect for it, as well as three more interaction terms!

Categorical Predictors with Issues

- Experimental designs with fully crossed conditions lend themselves to analysis of variance-type models
- What happens when things go wrong? Two examples:
 - ANOVA with a hole in it
 - Predictors that don't apply or weren't measured for everyone
- These designs can be analyzed using **nested effects**
 - Different programs specify these differently, so I'll show them using a common language of pseudo-interaction terms
 - In specifying nested effects, what look like "interactions" actually act as switches instead to turn effects on/off...

A Traditional View of ANOVA

ANOVAs usually provide F -tests for **marginal mean differences...**

Is this **really** what you want to know?

$$F(df=1) \rightarrow a \ v. \ b$$

$$F(df=2) \rightarrow 1 \ v. \ 2. \ v. \ 3$$

$$F(df=2) \rightarrow a \ v. \ b \ * \ 1 \ v. \ 2. \ v. \ 3$$

Means	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$
\bar{a}	$\bar{a1}$	$\bar{a2}$	$\bar{a3}$
\bar{b}	$\bar{b1}$	$\bar{b2}$	$\bar{b3}$

ANOVA as a General Linear Model

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_i = & \beta_0 + \beta_1(a1 \ v. \ b1_i) \\
 & + \beta_2(a1 \ v. \ a2_i) + \beta_3(a1 \ v. \ a3_i) \\
 & + \beta_4(a1 \ v. \ b1_i)(a1 \ v. \ a2_i) \\
 & + \beta_5(a1 \ v. \ b1_i)(a1 \ v. \ a3_i) + e_i
 \end{aligned}$$

The focus is now on **differences between specific conditions** as created by the β fixed effects.

Means	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$
\bar{a}	$\bar{a1}$	$\bar{a2}$	$\bar{a3}$
\bar{b}	$\bar{b1}$	$\bar{b2}$	$\bar{b3}$

ANOVA as a General Linear Model

- Software will find any **simple slopes (differences) you ask for**
 - TEST in SPSS MIXED (not GLM); ESTIMATE in SAS (GLM or MIXED)
 - LINCOM or MARGINS in STATA; NEW in Mplus
- Seeing research questions through linear models saves **nontraditional research designs**
 - Not fully crossed on purpose or by accident... “ANOVA with a hole in it”

Means	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$
\bar{a}	β_0	$\beta_0 + \beta_2$	$\beta_0 + \beta_3$
\bar{b}	$\beta_0 + \beta_1$	$\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_4$	$\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_3 + \beta_5$

A Nontraditional ANOVA Design

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1(t3 \text{ v. } t1_i) + \beta_2(t2 \text{ v. } t1_i) + \beta_3(t1_i)(t \text{ v. } c_i) + \beta_4(t2_i)(t \text{ v. } c_i) + e_i$$

β_3 and β_4 are not interaction terms. Instead, they are *nested* effects.

You are allowed to use any C effects you want to represent the C means, even in fully crossed designs!

Means	Cohort 1	Cohort 2	Cohort 3
Control	$\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_3$	$\beta_0 + \beta_2 + \beta_4$	
Treatment	$\beta_0 + \beta_1$	$\beta_0 + \beta_2$	β_0

A Nested-Effects General Linear Model

- Example: predicting outcomes by dementia type and dementia timing in persons with OR without dementia
 - Type and timing do not apply to persons without dementia
 - So this requires the following new variables...

* Create a switch variable and nested type variable;

```
IF demtype="none" THEN DO; demYes=0; demAorV= 0; END;
```

```
IF demtype="AD" THEN DO; demYes=1; demAorV=-.5; END;
```

```
IF demtype="VA" THEN DO; demYes=1; demAorV= .5; END;
```

* Create a timing variable (0=5 years) when applicable;

```
IF demtype="none" THEN DO; demtime5=0; END;
```

```
IF demtype="AD" THEN DO; demtime5=demtime-5; END;
```

```
IF demtype="VA" THEN DO; demtime5=demtime-5; END;
```


A Nested-Effects General Linear Model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{demYes}_i) + \beta_2(\text{demAorV}_i) + \beta_3(\text{demYes}_i)(\text{demtime}_i - 5) + \beta_4(\text{demAorV}_i)(\text{demtime}_i - 5) + e_i$$

Fixed Effect	Interpretation
β_0 : Intercept	Expected outcome for persons without dementia
β_1 : demYes	Simple slope for difference between persons without dementia or with dementia at 5 years (averaged across AD and VA dementia types)
β_2 : demAorV	Simple slope for difference between persons with AD or VA type dementia (at 5 years)
β_3 : demYes* demtime5	Is NOT an interaction term: Slope for difference in outcome per year of dementia <i>only in persons with dementia</i> (averaged across AD and VA dementia types)
β_4 : demAorV* demtime5	IS an interaction term: Difference in slope for effect of years between persons with AD or VA type

Other Uses for GLM Nested Effects

- **Nested effects** are main effects specified to apply selectively to subsamples of the possible cases contributing to the model
- They have lots of potential—but relatively unknown—uses
 - “If and how much” effects of **semi-continuous** predictors
 - Difference between groups of “younger” and “older” adults; + slope for years of age within “older” adults (see Hoffman 2015 ch. 12)
 - Presence and severity of abuse: difference between groups of “not abused” and “abused” persons; + slope for severity of abuse within “abused” group (for which severity > 0)
 - Missing, refused to answer, or other **incomplete predictor data**:
 - Difference between groups of “incomplete” versus “complete” predictor values; + slope for predictor values in “complete” group
 - Predictor effects that only apply to one outcome in a multivariate GLM predicting multiple outcomes simultaneously...
 - Come back to my *Generalized Linear Models* class to see this usage!