### Fixed Effects: Why Centering Matters

y<sub>i</sub> = Student achievement (GPA as percentage out of 100)
 x<sub>i</sub> = Parent attitudes about education (measured on 1–5 scale)
 z<sub>i</sub> = Parent education level (measured in years of education)

 $GPA_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}(Att_{i}) + \beta_{2}(Ed_{i}) + \beta_{3}(Att_{i})(Ed_{i}) + e_{i}$  $GPA_{i} = 30 + 1(Att_{i}) + 2(Ed_{i}) + 0.5(Att_{i})(Ed_{i}) + e_{i}$ 

- Interpret  $\beta_0$ :
- Interpret  $\beta_1$ :
- Interpret  $\beta_2$ :
- Interpret β<sub>3</sub>: Attitude as Moderator:

**Education** as Moderator:

Predicted GPA for attitude = 3 and Ed = 12?
 75 = 30 + 1\*(3) + 2\*(12) + 0.5\*(3)\*(12)

# How Centering Changes Fixed Effects

- $y_i$  = Student achievement (GPA as percentage out of 100)  $x_i$  = Parent **attitudes** about education (now centered at **3**)
  - $z_i$  = Parent years of **education** (now centered at **12**)

 $GPA_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}(Att_{i} - 3) + \beta_{2}(Ed_{i} - 12) + \beta_{3}(Att_{i} - 3)(Ed_{i} - 12) + e_{i}$  $GPA_{i} = 75 + 7(Att_{i} - 3) + 3.5(Ed_{i} - 12) + 0.5(Att_{i} - 3)(Ed_{i} - 12) + e_{i}$ 

- Interpret  $\beta_0$ :
- Interpret  $\beta_1$ :
- Interpret  $\beta_2$ :
- Interpret  $\beta_3$ : Attitude as Moderator:

**Education as Moderator:** 

• But how did I know what the new fixed effects would be???

# **Model-Implied Predictor Simple Slopes**

- Example equation for <u>predicted GPA</u> using centered predictors:  $\widehat{GPA}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (Att_i - 3) + \beta_2 (Ed_i - 12) + \beta_3 (Att_i - 3) (Ed_i - 12)$
- This model equation provides predictions for:
  - > Expected outcome given any combination of predictor values
  - > Any conditional (simple) main effect slopes implied by interaction term
  - > Any slope can be found as: what it is + what *modifies* it
- Three steps to get any model-implied simple main effect slope:
- 1. Identify all terms in model involving the predictor of interest
- 2. Factor out common predictor variable to find slope linear combination
- 3. Calculate estimate and SE for slope linear combination
  - > By "calculate" I of course mean "ask a program to do this for you"

### **Model-Implied Predictor Simple Slopes**

- Example equation for <u>predicted GPA</u> using centered predictors:  $\widehat{GPA}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (Att_i - 3) + \beta_2 (Ed_i - 12) + \beta_3 (Att_i - 3) (Ed_i - 12)$
- 1. **Identify** all slopes in model involving the predictor of interest To get attitudes slope:  $Est = \beta_1(Att_i - 3) + \beta_3(Att_i - 3)(Ed_i - 12)$ To get education slope:  $Est = \beta_2(Ed_i - 12) + \beta_3(Att_i - 3)(Ed_i - 12)$
- 2. Factor out predictor of interest to find <u>slope linear combination</u> To get attitudes slope:  $Est = [\beta_1 + \beta_3 (Ed_i - 12)]$ that will multiply  $(Att_i - 3)$ To get education slope:  $Est = [\beta_2 + \beta_3 (Att_i - 3)]$  that will multiply  $(Ed_i - 12)$
- Btw, the SEs for the new slopes provided by the program come from:
  - >  $SE^2$  = sampling variance of slope estimate  $\rightarrow$  e.g.,  $Var(\beta_1) = SE_{\beta_1}^2$ attitudes slope:  $SE^2 = Var(\beta_1) + Var(\beta_3)(Ed_i - 12) + 2Cov(\beta_1, \beta_3)(Ed_i - 12)$

education slope:  $SE^2 = Var(\beta_2) + Var(\beta_3)(Att_i - 3) + 2Cov(\beta_2, \beta_3)(Att_i - 3)$ 

### **Model-Implied Predictor Simple Slopes**

- To request predicted simple slopes (= simple main effects):
  - > **DO NOT include the intercept** ( $\beta_0$  does **not** contribute to slopes)
  - > Include ONLY the fixed effects that contain the predictor of interest

 $\widehat{GPA}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (Att_i - 3) + \beta_2 (Ed_i - 12) + \beta_3 (Att_i - 3) (Ed_i - 12)$ 

→ attitudes slope:  $Est = [\beta_1 + \beta_3(Ed_i - 12)]$  that multiplies  $(Att_i - 3)$ → education slope:  $Est = [\beta_2 + \beta_3(Att_i - 3)]$  that multiplies  $(Ed_i - 12)$ 

#### STATA: Each line starts with lincom, label at end of line after // comment

<pre>_cons*0 + att*_</pre>	+ ed*_	+ att#ed*	//Att Slope if Ed=10
<pre>_cons*0 + att*_</pre>	+ ed*	+ att#ed*	//Att Slope if Ed=18
<pre>_cons*0 + att*_</pre>	+ ed*	+ att#ed*	<pre>//Ed Slope if Att=2</pre>
<pre>_cons*0 + att*_</pre>	+ ed*	+ att#ed*	//Ed Slope if Att=5

R: Coefficients are multipliers in GLHT entered in order of fixed effects

"Att Slope if Ed=10" = c(0, \_, \_, \_),
"Att Slope if Ed=18" = c(0, \_, \_, \_),
"Ed Slope if Att=2" = c(0, \_, \_, \_),
"Ed Slope if Att=5" = c(0, \_, \_, \_)

# **Regions of Significance for Simple Slopes**

- For quantitative predictors, there may not be specific values of the moderator at which you want to know the slope's significance...
- For example, with age\*woman (in which 0=man, 1=woman here):

 $\hat{y}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (Age_i - 85) + \beta_2 (Woman_i) + \beta_3 (Age_i - 85) (Woman_i)$   $\Rightarrow \text{ age slope: } Est = \_ that multiplies (Age_i - 85)$   $\Rightarrow \text{ gender slope: } Est = \_ that multiplies (Woman_i)$ 

- Age slopes are only relevant for two specific values of binary woman:
   \_cons\*0 + age85\*\_ + woman\*\_ + age85\*woman\*\_ // Age Slope for Men
   \_cons\*0 + age85\*\_ + woman\*\_ + age85\*woman\*\_ // Age Slope for Women
- But there are many ages to request gender differences for...

\_cons\*0 + age85\*\_ + woman\*\_ + age85\*woman\*\_ //Gender Diff at Age=80
\_cons\*0 + age85\*\_ + woman\*\_ + age85\*woman\*\_ //Gender Diff at Age=90

# Regions of Significance for Simple Slopes

- An alternative approach for continuous moderators is known as **regions of significance** (see Hoffman 2015 chapter 2 for refs)
- Rather than asking if the simple main effect of gender is still significant at a particular age, we can find the **boundary ages** at which the gender effect becomes non-significant
- We know that: EST / SE = t-value  $\rightarrow$  if |t| > |1.96|, then p < .05
- So we work backwards to find the EST and SE such that:

 $\pm t = \pm 1.96 = \frac{\text{Slope Estimate}}{\sqrt{\text{Variance of Slope Estimate}}}, \text{ where:}$ Gender Slope (Gender Difference) Estimate =  $\beta_2 + \beta_3 (\text{Age} - 85)$ Variance of Slope Estimate =  $\text{Var}(\beta_2) + \frac{2\text{Cov}(\beta_2\beta_3)(\text{Age} - 85)}{2} + \text{Var}(\beta_3)(\text{Age} - 85)^2$ 

- Need to request "asymptotic covariance matrix" (COVB)
  - Covariance matrix of fixed effect estimates (SE<sup>2</sup> on diagonal)

# **Regions of Significance for Simple Slopes**

 $\pm t = \pm 1.96 = \frac{\text{Slope Estimate}}{\sqrt{\text{Variance of Slope Estimate}}}, \text{ where:}$ Gender Slope (Gender Difference) Estimate =  $\beta_2 + \beta_3 (\text{Age} - 85)$ Variance of Slope Estimate =  $\text{Var}(\beta_2) + 2\text{Cov}(\beta_2\beta_3)(\text{Age} - 85) + \text{Var}(\beta_3)(\text{Age} - 85)^2$ 

- For example, age\*woman (0=man, 1=woman), age = moderator:  $\hat{y}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1(Age_i - 85) + \beta_2(Woman_i) + \beta_3(Age_i - 85)(Woman_i)$
- $\beta_2 = -0.5306^*$  at age=85,  $Var(\beta_2) \rightarrow SE^2$  for  $\beta_2$  was 0.06008
- $\beta_3 = -0.1104^*$  unconditionally,  $Var(\beta_3) \rightarrow SE^2$  for  $\beta_3$  was 0.00178
- Covariance of  $\beta_2 SE$  and  $\beta_3 SE$  was 0.00111

### • Regions of Significance for Moderator of Age = 60.16 to 79.52

> The gender effect  $\beta_2$  is predicted to be <u>significantly negative</u> above age 79.52, <u>non-significant</u> from ages 79.52 to 60.16, and <u>significantly positive</u> below age 60.16 (because non-parallel lines will cross eventually).